IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA MARTINSBURG

SAQUON K. BETHEA.

Petitioner,

V.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 3:07-CV-95 (BAILEY)

JOYCE FRANCIS, Warden,

Respondent.

ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

On this day, the above-styled matter came before the Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge James E. Seibert. By Standing Order entered on March 24, 2000, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Seibert for submission of a proposed report and a recommendation ("R & R"). Magistrate Judge Seibert filed his R & R on October 1, 2007 [Doc. 6]. In that filing, the magistrate judge recommended that this Court dismiss as moot the petitioner's application under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1].

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b) (1) (c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo* review and the petitioner's right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1);

Snyder v. Ridenour, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); United States v. Schronce,

727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Seibert's R & R were

due October 16, 2007 [Doc. 6], pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed.R.Civ.P. 72(b).

To date, neither party has filed objections to the R & R. Accordingly, this Court will review

the report and recommendation for clear error.

In filing his petition, the petitioner's ultimate goal was to receive credit for time spent

in the District of Columbia Jail before being transferred to FCI Gilmer. Magistrate Judge

Seibert's R & R indicates that the defendant was released from custody on September 21,

2007, via full term release. Accordingly, the petitioner can no longer benefit from the time

served, and the case is now moot.

Therefore, upon careful review of the R & R, it is the opinion of this Court that the

Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation [Doc. 6] should be, and is, hereby

ORDERED ADOPTED for the reasons more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report.

Accordingly, the Court hereby **DISMISSES** as moot the petitioner's application under 28

U.S.C. § 2241 [Doc. 1] and **ORDERS** it **STRICKEN** from the active docket of this Court.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to mail true copies of this Order to all counsel of record and

the *pro se* petitioner.

DATED: October 25, 2007.

UNITED STATED DISTRICT JUDGE